G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

1918

( [illegible] )

*Later references.*

**File No.** 345-0

**Serial Nos.** 1-12

✓

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.*

**Part B for** January 19.

SUBJECT.

Promotion to the servants of the Srimanmathuolu Anna Chattram

D.C. Mysore
No 2134 Dt 31.1.19

Returns Ver records re

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24.7.16

R.S. 11] 1908-09

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( Muzrai )

NOTES.

File No. 345-08

FILE HEADING.

Promotions to the servants of the Tiramakudlu Annachatram.

From

The Private Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

No. 2091 Dated 1st February 09 Received

Diary No. 754 Enclos. Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

The ~~temple~~ chattram servants say that as in the case of other Chattrams the tasdik of this Chattram may also be revised and they may be given promotion.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Dft calling for a report from the Deputy Commissioner is submitted

Subba
20/2/09

OTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

12]
and Revenue
Secretariat.

Mnz File No. 345 of 1908

Serial No.

2 To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

No 1210, dated 4th March 1909.

Forwards the memorial (Sl no 1) for report

3 From

The same

No 17, dated 10th July 1909.

Submits for sanction a revised scale of Establishment for the Chattram at Tirumakudlu, T Narsipur Taluk

2 The Tasdik of this Chattram is Rs 1555-8-0 per annum or Rs 129-10-0 per mensem. It is reported that according to the present scale the monthly cost of the Chattram Establishment is Rs 13-6-0 plus 168 seers of rice with condiments, representing a ~~real~~ money value of Rs 42. The Amildar proposes to do away with this system of payment in kind and substitute in its stead a revised scale of Establishment consisting of 5 servants and costing Rs 29 per mensem He further proposes to allow them to take one meal a day in the Chattram and assign to them certain fixed duties. The Sub Division Officer supports the proposals. The Deputy Commissioner in also recommending the scale for sanction, states that the claims of the deserving Parpattegar in the District will be considered.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

592

3. Subd with a draft to Secd Councillor. The proposal is fair.

Ud
- 7/8/09

10

9/8/09

4. I should like to know if it would not be possible to do without a parpatyegar - by appointing a Committee of 3 or 4 men - of whom one may look after work of supervision daily for 3 or 4 months by turns.

11/8/9

Enquire
11/8/09

SA
Ud
- 13/8/09

5 Issue. [illegible] [illegible] for reference any case where the proposed system prevails. I am told that this system is tried at Chikpet from a complaint received some months ago I think this system prevails in Hoskote Taluk also.

13/8/09

Sl 3

4 To the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt

No. 466 d/ 16-8-09

Enquires whether it would be possible to do without a parpatyegar by appointing a committee of 3 or 4 men of whom one may look after the work of supervision daily for 3 or 4 months by turns

5 From the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt No 343 d/ 16-3-

Report that though the Amildar & Sub Divn officer are of opinion that a parpatygar is not necessary, in his opinion a parpatygar is absolutely necessary and submits a revised Scale of establishment.

6. From the Amildar's report of the 20th Febry 1912 it is seen that the monthly tasdik of Rs 129-10-0 is now being spent as follows:-

| | | Rs As P |
|---|---|---|
| 1) | Cash emoluments of establishment | 13-6-0 |
| 2) | Cash Nirupkatle charges | 23-13-11 |
| 3) | Pay of Muz. Gumasta & Contingency. | 8-0-0 |
| 4) | Grain emoluments to establishment (cash value) | 36-0-0 |
| 5) | Maintenance of Sridharachar | 3-0-0 |
| 6) | Sweeper of Agasteswara-Swami temple | 1-8-0 |
| 7) | Naivedya to Brindavana | 12-0- |
| | Total | Rs 97-11-11 |

Balance (Rs 31-14-1) for daily Santaripane to travellers.

7. The only items proposed to be changed are items No (1) and (4) relating to the emoluments of establishment.

Muz File No. 345 of 1908

Serial No.

Their present emoluments are as follows -

| | | Pay. | Rations |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1) | Sanbog . . . | Rs 2-6-0 | 28 Srs of rice with condiments |
| 2) | Cook . . . | " 4-5-0 | - Do - |
| 3) | Paricharak | " 3-10-0 | - Do - |
| 4) | Devarapuje . . . | " 1-3-0 | - Do - |
| 5) | Golla . . . | 1-3-0 | - Do - |
| 6) | Kamati | 0-11-0 | - Do - |
| | Total | Rs 13-6-0 | 168 Srs of rice with condiments |

8. The grain allowance is estimated to cost about Rs 36/- by the ~~Am~~ present Amildar and Rs 42/- by the former Amildar & the Dy Commr.

9. The grain allowance is proposed to be done away with and the revised ~~emoluments~~ pay of the establishment proposed by the Amildar is as follows:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1) | Sanbog - | Rs 5-0-0 |
| 2) | Cook | Rs 6-0-0 |
| 3) | Paricharak | Rs 4-0-0 |
| 4) | Sweeper | Rs 3-0-0 |
| | Total | Rs 18-0-0 |

10. The Dy Commr recommends that a parpathyegar on a pay of Rs 7 or 8 is also absolutely necessary and states that the cost of the revised establishment ~~then cos~~ (Rs 25 or 26), will show a

Note—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Muz File No 365 of 1908

Serial No.

saving of about Rs 30/- over that of the existing establishment (by taking the cost of rations at present supplied to the establishment at Rs 42/-) But the Dy Commr seems to have left out of calculation the cost of one meal a day for the whole establishment, which the Amildar recommends & which has been included in the scale signed by the Dy Commr

// Submitted with a draft order sanctioning the revised scale of establishment, for approval or other order

cept (139)
23-3-12)
25/3/12 (1st Councillor)

12 A Parpatyegar or Sanbog will be sufficient. The higher title & pay may be retained.

13. How much will this come to (including one meal each)? Are any vested rights affected by the change?

9/5/12

cyh

Note—Contn sheet

14437

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Mwz File No. 345 of 1908

Serial No.

14. The proposed pay viz Rs 5/-, of the Sanbog will be saved by the change It is not known ~~whether~~ what the cash value of the one meal a day of the establishment, comes to.

15. Subd with a draft to the Dy Comr.

Cgl (139) 11/5/12.

13/5/12

Issue

13/5/12

To

6 The Dy Commr Mysore Dt
No 2839 d/ 20.5.1912
Stating that either a paru pattegar or a Sanbog appears to be sufficient & requesting him to report if any vested rights will be affected by the proposed change & if so how far, together with the information as to the cost of establishment including the cash equivalent of one meal a day proposed for the whole establishment.

7 From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt
Letter No 191 dated 23.10.13
Submits a revised scale of expenditure in the Chattram.

Papers with Dy Comr [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

16. As regards the 1st councillor's query on page 6, it is noted (1) that the cost of one meal per day for the establishment (excluding Golla and Devarapuje, which posts are proposed to be abolished) comes to Rs 12, the pay of the establishment is Rs 18 and Rs 2 is shown as "Nehimi" allowance payable to one Subbamma (wife of the late Naranappa, the holder of the Yelegandhakkshate post in the Chathram) who was granted the allowance for 3 years with effect from 1st May 1910 and (2) that no provision seems to have been made for Golla Kulli Venkataramana and that the post of Devarapuje is proposed to be abolished.

17. From the records in this office it is seen that the Yelegandhakkshate post was not filled up after the death of the incumbent Naranappa and the Dy Commr had also proposed its abolition. But Govt, in para 3 of their order No. 780-1/M.F. 40-01 dated 27.8.04 said "The Chathram of T. Narsipur being one of importance and resorted to by Brahmin travellers the Govt observe that it is necessary that it should have a worshipper of its own and dispenser of Theertha or sacred water" and abolished the Yelegandhakkshate post and created instead the Devarapuje post. The fact of their having already been a Devarapuja man for the Brindavanams was then before Govt as noted in para 2 therein.

R/P

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus. File No. 345 of 1908.

Serial No.

Endowed with an annual cash Tasdik of Rs 94-8-0 from Govt. Para 3.

18. The records do not show whether the Brindavana-pooje man is a Chatram servant or not. He seems to be only a nehumidar getting 2 seers of rice: with condiments per day.

19. It is noted that the Chatram has a tasdik of Rs 129-10-0 per mensem, that the Parapathegar has also to ~~look after~~ supervise the temple of Sri Agastheswara Swami and that the Dy Comr also refers to a proposal under consideration to combine the duties of the Chatram with those of the temple.

Q

20. It is therefore a point for consideration whether the revised scale of the Yedatore Chatram which ~~are~~ is in everyway similar to ~~those of~~ the T. Narsipur Chatram may not be followed as has already been suggested by the then Amildar Mr N. Rama Rao.

M

N

Subd for orders

AK(65)

7/1 21. Formerly the establishment of this Chatram consisted of the following servants

1 Shanbog 2 Cook 3 Paricharik

4 Yelegandhakshate 5 Gollar

6 Kamati.

22 In 1903 the Deputy Commr, while reporting that the post of Yelegandhakshate was vacant owing to the death of ~~the~~ the

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 15

permanent

Serial No.

permanent incumbent, suggested the idea of keeping the post vacant till pending the revision of the establishment of the Chatram This was approved by Govt in their order

A No 2175 of 5-5-1-3.

23. Subsequently in 1904 while disposing of several applications for the said post, Govt directed that the pay and emoluments of "Yelegandhakshate" viz Rs 1-3-- p m and 1 seer of rice daily be given to a new incumbent in

P future under the designation of "Devarpuje" Then on the memorial of one Subbamma, the widow of the late Yele Gandhakshate barawardar Narnappa, praying that the said post may be continued to her as it is hereditary in her family, the matter was enquired into and ~~th~~ Subbamma was given a Nehimi allowance of Rs 2 p m for a period of 3 years and the Dy Cr

9 was requested to ~~submit~~ expedite proposals for the revision of the establishment of the Chatram in question.

24. From the above it will be seen that either a Devarapujeman or a Yelegandhakshate man is necessary for this Chatram. But the Deputy Commr has not made provision

882

Serial No.

for either of them in the present revised scale which ~~before Govt~~. is as follows

| Present | Proposed |
|---|---|
| 1 Shanbog | 1 Parupatthegar |
| 2 Cook | 2 Cook |
| 3 Paricharik | 3 Parichārik |
| 4 Devarapuje | 4 Sweeper & Musare |
| 5 Gollar | 5 Yelegandhakshate-Nehimudar - 2 Rs p.m. |
| 6 Kamati | |

25. In these circumstances, the Deputy Cr may be asked to submit revised proposals in accordance with the Scale sanctd for the Yedatore Chatram.

14/2/14

26. Where is the Yedatore Chatram file

26.-.14

27 The original file also is subd.

Pw(65) 26/2

27/2

28. I should like to see the order of Govt: relating to the payment of 2 seers of rice to the Brindavana Puja man. If not available call for it. The Mutt is rich enough to pay for the services. Why should it be saddled upon the Chatram

27.2.14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mys File No. 345 of 1918.

Serial No.

Subd -

29. The order referred to by the Undersecy is not available in the office.

30 Draft calling for the same is put up.

PK(65) 3/3

3/3

abfd
16/3

8 To - The Deputy Comr, Mysore Dt. No 2513 dated 21st March 1914

Calls for a Copy of G.O. Sanctioning 2 Seers of rice with Condiments from the Chattram Scale for Hastodaka of the Brindavanams of the Matt

[illegible] 9 From the Dy: Comr, Mysore Dt., letter no. 256 d 17.11.15

States that a copy of the Govt order called for in the above is not available, but that the archaks of the Brindavanam say that they lost the orders granted by H. H. the ~~Kri~~ Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodeyar and Dewan Poorniah at the time of ~~high~~ high floods in Kaveri some years back and quote the nos & dates of the orders noted on a palm leaf by their ancestors.

31 There is a statement of the archaks in the records

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

to shew that they had with them Orders No. 4 of 28-8-1844 and 94 of 23-8-1866 issued by the late Maharaja Krishna Raja Wodeyar and Dewan Poorniah granting them an allowance of cooked food of 2 seers of rice with condiments & that they lost them 4 or 5 years ago when the extraordinary high floods of the Kaveri ~~caused~~ destroyed several houses in the town; and that they have been able to quote the No. & Date from a note made by their ancestors on a palm leaf.

32. As regards the revision of the scale of the chattram now under consideration, as no provision was made by the Dy: Commr in the revised scale for a Devarpuje man, it was suggested in para 25 of the office note that the scale may be revised in accordance with the scale sanctioned for the [illegible] Chattram. It was in that connection that the Under Secretary directed to get a copy of the Govt Order relating to the grant of cooked rice allowance to the archaks of the Brindavanam in the Chattram with the object of transferring, if possible, the cost thereof to the Sri Vyasaraya Matha at Sosale.

M

33 In their statement referred to above the archaks say that the chattram was

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 345 of 1908.

Serial No.

established with the object ~~to~~ of providing Nivedya to the Brindavanam & food to travelling Brahmans and that they have been drawing the allowance even when the santarpane in the Chatram was temporarily stopped ~~on~~ ~~certa~~ ~~at~~ on certain occasions.

34. The Dy: Commr in agreeing with the opinion of the Amildar & the Sub-Dn-officer considers that a separate Devarapujiman is not necessary for the chatram & that such service may be got done by the archak of the Brindavanam.

35 In view of the above facts, it is a point for orders whether the revised scale subd by the Dy: Commr may be ~~app~~ sanctd or the same revised in accordance with the scale sanctd for the Yedatore chattram

('L. S / I. 15)

SD
22/12 36 The revision of the scale of the establishment of this Chatram, which enjoys a tasdik of Rs 1555-8-" per annum or Rs 129-10-" per mensem is under correspondence since 1909. There are 2 proposals one prepared by Mr N Rama Row as Amildar and approved of by the then Deputy Commr Mr K Krishna Iyer, & the other prepared

+ Scheme [illegible]
last Sanctioned
[illegible]
Chatram
[illegible]

823 (15

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 345 of 1918

Serial No.

by Mr A Subramanya Iyer in accordance with the observations made by Govt. Both of them provide for 5 men with pay and 1 meal a day. The cost of the former comes to (29 Rs pay + 15 Rs for one meal a day) 44 Rs p m and that of the latter to (Rs 20 pay + 12 Rs for one meal a day) 32 Rs p m. (The cost of 1 meal a day for one man is taken at Rs 3 p m) Either of these may be sanctioned. And the scale revised

ann
24/12/15

37. What is the pay provided for the Brindavana Puja man in the new Scale of expenditure of the Sosale ~~temple~~ Mutt

38. In view of the increased prices there is no reason why the allowance should not be reduced to one seer rice for Naivedya to Brindavanam. The man may do the Devarpuja at the Chatram daily and take one meal a day.

39. Draft an order sanctioning the revision of the Scale on these lines

ll
19.1.16

R.J.O.

5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6-15

843 (16

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Muz File No. 345 of 1918

Serial No.

~~Draft~~ 40. The pay provided for the Brindavana Pujeman in the new scale of expenditure of the Sosale ~~temple~~ Matha is Rs 7/ per mensem.

SR
25/1/16

This is for Sosale
& the Brindavana.
[illegible] is a special [illegible]
at Tirumakudlu the Brindavana
194-[illegible]

41. As regards the proposal to abolish the post of a separate Devarpujeman for the chattram, kind attention is invited to para 3 of Govt. Progs. no. 780-1 / Muz 740-01 d/ 27-8-04, wherein it is ~~stated~~ observed that the chattram at T Narsipur being one of importance and resorted to by Brahmin Travellers, it is necessary that it should have a worshipper of its own & dispenser of Theertha or sacred water. [But the present D. C. is of a different opinion altogether Vide para 34 Sup.

SR
27/1/16

P

42. It is also humbly subd. for consideration and orders whether the reduction of the present allowance of cooked rice to the man doing the puja of the Brindavanams in the chattram may not be felt as a hardship in view of the additional duty of Devarpuje in the chattram proposed to be given to him.

43. Draft, as per orders, has, however been subd.

SR
8/2

4/2/16

Before revising the scale, I think it necessary

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6-15

(1

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 345 of 19'8

Serial No

the grant now allowed to schemes should be examined afresh. There are 11 schemes

Aswathanarayanaswami has a large Tasdik and is now a part of a major institution. It is no longer necessary to give grants. The monthly payment of Rs 8-15-10 for Naivedya and the sum for sweeper may go.

Nanjangud Basaveswara devara may be given for [illegible] chatram at the place which is said to have a surplus.

The Brindavanam need not have a Naivedya allowance. It has a special Tasdik and the expenditure is a legitimate charge upon the Matha funds. There is no authority forthcoming for the practice except Manual. Special provision is made in the Matha rate for Aradhane of these Brindavanams and no payment need be made.

~~The~~ The scheme of Geeta Narasimha Matha will fall in lapse and the savings may also be utilized. The conditions of the other schemes should also be examined. It is necessary to make sufft. funds available to have feeding throughout the month, the place being sacred.

NOTE— Contn sheet

GPB—10,000—30 6 15

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 345 of 1908.

Serial
No.

The revision of the scale may be taken up after deciding these points.

As the chattram is situated by the side of the temple, a separate Parpattiagar appears to be unnecessary. An allowance of Rs 2 to the temple Parpattiagar to look after the chattram may be sufficient. A Devar pujaman will however be necessary. This may be the Brindavan pujaman or any other independent person on Rs 3 and meals.

Draft calling for the opinion of the Dn. Comr. on these proposals

16.2.16

Draft subd for appl
SD
23/2/16
ans
23/2/16

Put up a clean draft.

24.2

Clean draft subd
SD
25/2
25/2/16

Subd to 1st member for approval or other orders

26.2.16

NOTE—Contn. sheet

843 19

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 345 of 1908.

Serial No.

Please mark the orders (or put up) concerning the items about which enquiry is suggested in the draft.

18/3/16

TM 14/3/16

Very early

14/3/16

Subd. 15th inst.

Subd. with refs. marked.

[illegible]

[illegible]

22/3/16

10 No: 23908 5-4-16, ~~2~~ De. my side, calls for ~~this~~ opinion in connection with the proposed revision of scale of expr. of the above dte.

11 From the [illegible] in charge of the [illegible] 21-4-16

Submits [illegible] in connection with the proposed [illegible] of the [illegible]

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 345 of 1928.

Serial No.

The Dy: Commr in agreeing with all the suggestions made by Govt has subd for sanction a revised scale.

The suggestions made and adopted are as follows:-

1) The allowance of Rs 5/- p.m. from the chattram funds to Nanjangud Basaveswara Devaru to be stopped. [The allowance to be paid in future from the Ganaradhane Chattram at Nanjangud].

2) The grant of 2 seers of rice with condiments per day to the Brindavanams of Sri Vyasaraya Mutt to be discontinued, as the Brindavanam enjoy a special tasdik of Rs 94-8-0 per annum.

3) The grant of Rs 8-15-10 p.m. from the chattram funds for Nevedya to Aswathakatte to cease, as it has a separate tasdik of its own, and also Rs 2-3-10 to Subramanya [Swami:

4) Under Nelumi allowances, the allowance of Rs 2-5-4 to one Kaveri Bhai, Rs 1-2-8 to one Rama of Kodakola, Rs 2-2-3 to another Kaveri Bhai and Rs 2/- to one Subbamma and Rs 6/- to Geeta Narasimha Bhatta, making a total of Rs 13-10-3, are proposed to be saved. It may be noted in this connection, that the Amildar reports that the last 3 items have come under savings, but suggests that all of them -

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(P.) 70 000—17 12 1)

Serial No.

be brought under savings. It is not explained whether the 1st two Nelmidars have ceased to exist or whether payment ~~a~~ to them is proposed to be stopped. In para 4 of the Dy: Comr's Letter, ~~the~~ it is only stated that the allowance granted to Geeta Narasimhabhatta is available for general expenditure. But from para 7 of his letter, it has to be inferred that he concurs with the proposals of the Amildar.

5) It is proposed to appoint the parpattigar of the Agasteswaraswami temple as parpattigar of the Chattram also and to provide him with one meal in the chattram every day, in lieu of a cash allowance.

6) Instead of Rs 15-6-10 incurred now for Subramanyaswami puje, Brindavana Nivedya & Devar puje in the chattram, it is proposed to appoint ~~an~~ one man for Devar puje on Rs 8/- p.m. with one meal in the chattram, and ask him to do puja in the chattram, to Subramanyaswami in Aswat [illegible] and also attend to Yelegandakshate in the chattram. It may, perhaps, be pointed out here that the Dy: Comr's opinion in para 6 of his letter is not quite correct, as the Amildar has not [illegible]

Serial No.

add on to the Chattram Devarpuja man, to be appointed the puja service of the Brindavanams, as ~~a~~ ~~separate~~ there is ~~also~~ already a separate man for it.

7) By the above proposals, the amount left for daily Santarpane in the chattram is Rs 80-10-11 against Rs 45-6-1 as at present. Even this sum is considered inadequate on account of Santarpane expenses to be incurred out of chattram funds in connection with Girija Kalyanam in the Sri Agasteeswara temple and three Punyadivasas connected with the three Brindavanams in the chattram and, therefore, the present tasdik of the chattram viz Rs 129-10-11 is proposed to be increased to Rs 150/- p.m. It may, perhaps, be noted here that ~~the~~ in the scale of expenditure of the temple (vide G.O. No. 1147-9 / Mug.D. 619-14 of 11-11-15) a sum of Rs 200 has been provided for Girija Kalyanam.

As regards the santarpane in connection with Punyadinasams of Brindavanams, it is a point for consideration whether the expenditure should be met from the chattram funds, when the Nevedya

573

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 345 of 1918.

Serial No.

allowance to Brindavanams from the chatram has been proposed to be stopped on the ground that the Matt can well afford to meet it.

G The revised scale of expenditure proposed by the Amildar has not been signed by the Dy: Comr. But the Dy: Comr, however, requests sanction to the proposal made by the Amildar.

Subd for orders as to whether the revised scale may be sanctd. Orders have also to be passed on the additional tasdik recommended.

Sd 6-12-17

If these proposals are approved the additional grant asked for will have to be provided from the sum of Rs 2000 provided in para 4 of G.O No 804-17 - M F 177-14-10 d 11th Octr 1916 out of the savings effected by stopping the free feeding in certain Chatrams of the State.

am 10/12/17

Some changes in the proposed scale seem to be necessary: they are:

Parpathegar - A cash allowance of Rs 3 per month should be given also to induce him

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 345 of 1918

Serial No.

to do his work properly.

Devarapuje — Cash pay of Rs 5, instead of Rs 8 proposed, seems to be sufficient

Under Nelumikars, the claims of Subbamma for Rs 2 a month have to be retained in view of the observations in the notes of file 195 of 09; those of Rama and Kaveri Bai amounting to Rs 3 8 0 have also to be retained as they are being paid the allowances now.

With these modifications, the establishment and "Nelumis" will come to Rs 54-8-0 a month. It may be noted that the contribution towards Muzrai Estt Fund by this Chattram will be about Rs 6-8-0 per month instead of the 10 Rs provided now in the proposed scale for the Muzrai Gumasta. — Out of Rs 129-10-0, after the scale is adopted with the modifications noted, Rs 75-2-0 will be available for feeding travellers.

Special grants for supplementing funds on occasions of Girija Kalyana and Punyadinas may, if considered necessary, be paid from the savings of Annachattrams set apart for improving special chattrams.

Secy)

K.S.
11/12/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

**S. III-2]**

**General and Revenue**
Secretariat.

Mur File No. ~~345~~ 5938-F 17-18 of 19~~08~~.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | Bring this up at T. Narasipur<br>[illegible]<br>26.12.17 |
| | KS<br>27.12.17. |

Personal inspection

Proposals for fixing a revised scale of Expenditure in the Chattram as approved by the Muzrai Supdt. will be forwarded to the Dy. Commr. for opinion. May this be put [illegible] be returned to the [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible]

S.T.
Please return Ver. records. Put up draft.
8/1-19

Draft returning the ver: records is put up.

8D
16-1-19

[illegible]
16/1/19

Approved

KS
24/1/19.

12- No 2134 Dt 31-1-19. To D.C. Mysore.
Returns the Ver records

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Muzi 7 345-08-1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
Bangalore, 1-2-1909.

No. 2091/1

D/54

Submit
Let the Petr be informed
S.A.

2/2/09
W

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 1032 of 08-09.

By Order,

E. Maconochie
*I. C. S.*

*Private Secretary*
*to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.*

27

C. No. 19/1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 7-1-1914
DELIVERY No. 1240

1913-1914
SECTION Enquiry
FILE No.
SERIAL No.

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Department (Br: VII), Bangalore, for

Disposal

No. 598 of 1913-14.

By Order,

I.C.S.,
*Private Secretary*
*to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.*

598

19 -19

ION

4.1.14

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 28.12.1913.

DIARY No. 1129

10/3-1914

SECTION Muz

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

29

27/10

Reference Early

17.10.13.11

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಶ್ರೀ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

COURT FEE — GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE — EIGHT ANNAS
18-4-14

COURT FEE — GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE — EIGHT ANNAS
18-4-14

COURT FEE — GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE — EIGHT ANNAS
18-4-14

COURT FEE — GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE — EIGHT ANNAS
18-4-14

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] (1-3-0) [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1910 [illegible]
[illegible] Depu[illegible]
[illegible] (2-0-0) [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 6 [illegible] 2

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1816

[illegible] 122 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1914

[illegible]

Muz.3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

## OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 1210 Rev.
345-08 2.

The accompanying Petition No D 754 addressed to H. H. ~~received at this~~

dated 3-2-09 ~~Office from~~ the maharaja by the servants of the Tirumakoodlu chatram praying for the revision of the Rasoik of the chattram and also for increase of pay.

is forwarded, t~~ogether with i~~ts e~~nclosures~~, to the Dy Commr., Mysore Dist., for report.

By Order,

Offg Secretary,
~~Muzrai Department~~

20/2/09

BANGALORE,
17/2 1909

63/

D 104

Muz 345-08-3 1909-1910.

From
M. Kantaraj Urs Esq B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
C.S. Balasundram Iyer Esq B.A:
Secy: to Government
Muzrai Branch

Dated 10th July 1909.

Despatched

Received

General No. 17
No.

Enclosure No 1 file

---

Submits report on the petition from the servants of the Chattram at Tirumakudlu re revision of the scale of Establishment &c.

Refer SAa
13/7/09

Recd 6/8/09

Mu

No. 17
Enc. No. 1 file

Office of the Deputy Commr.
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore/Camp 10th July 1909.

To
C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esq., B.A.
Secretary to the GOVERNMENT
of HIS HIGHNESS the MAHARAJA of MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments.
Muzrai Branch.

Sir,

Adverting to your official memorandum No. 1210/Muz. 345-08-2 dated 4-3-1909 forwarding for report petition (herewith retransmitted) from the servants of the Tirumakudlu Chatram praying for the revision of the Tasdik of the Chatram and increase of pay, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement showing the present and proposed scale of establishment of the Chatram at Tirumakudlu in the T. Narsipur Taluk and to state that for reasons given by the Amildar, the proposed scale at a cost of Rs 29/- per mensem may be sanctioned.

2. The claims of the deserving Parupathegars in the District will, on receipt of Government orders be considered.

3. The Sub Divisional officer's memo No. 254/1058 dated 5/11.6.09 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

for Deputy Commr.
Mysore District

13/8/09

G.R.S. 29A]  985

190 .

From

Secy. to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated

Despatched 16 – 8 – 09

Received

General No. 466

~~No.~~ [illegible]

Enclosure No. [illegible]

Corrce ending with his letter No. 17, dated 10th July 1909, submitting for sanction a revised scale of Establishment for the Annachatram at Tirumakudlu, T. Narsipur Taluk.

With refce to the above, has the honor to enquire if it would not be possible to do without a Parpattegar for the Chattram as proposed in the above – by appointing a Committee of 3 or 4 men – of whom one may ~~look after the work of~~ supervision daily for 3 or 4 months by turns.

2 – The favor of an early reply is requested.

3. The Vern: Records are returned herewith for refce & return.

By Order
15/8/09
For Secretary
18/8/09

MGCPP—20,000— 6-2-05

9/3

190

From
K. Krishna Iyer Esq.,
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

DIARY No. 1443

19 1/3/12
Muz
345-08
5

To
C. S Balasundram Iyer Esq.,
Offg. Secy. to Govt. of Mysore,
Gen & Rev. Depts.
Muzrai Branch

Dated

Despatched 16 - 3 - 1912

Received

General No. 343
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

---

Submits further report re scale of establishment of Anna chatram at Tirnar.

26

No. 343
Enc. No. 1 file

OFFICE OF
MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Mysore / Camp 16th March 1912

FROM

K. Krishna Iyer ESQ.,
Offg. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT.

TO

C. S. Balasundram Iyer B.A. ESQ.,
Offg. SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS
HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Muzrai Branch.

SIR,

In reply to your docket No 466 / Gl. 7. 345-08-4 dated 16.8.1909 calling for further information regarding the scale of establishment for the Anna chatram at Tirma Kudlu in the T. Narsipur Taluk I have the honor to state that the Amildar reports that as Dharmadarsis are to be appointed to supervise the affairs of the chatram it is possible to do without a parpathegar and recommends that the scale proposed by him as shown in the accompanying list may be sanctioned.

2. The Sub Divisional Officer concurs with the Amildar's proposals.

3. I should think that a parpathegar

Parpathegar is very necessary to supervise the affairs of the institution and submit accounts to the Taluk punctually. If Dharmadarsis be appointed and if they fail to exercise strict supervision over the Chattram as notified in Govt Procs: No $\frac{2144-53}{\text{Muz } 121-05-11}$ dated 26-2-1910, the only course to be adopted is to recommend their removal and fill up the vacancy by the appointment of others which means loss of time and inconvenience. If in addition to the Dharmadarsis there be a Parpathegar he may be held primarily responsible for any shortcomings.

4. The present Shanbhogue is getting Rs 2-6-0 and 28 seers of rice with condiments. The records shew that 28 seers of rice with condiments cost about Rs 7/-. I would therefore propose that in addition to the Shanbhogue, cook, Paricharak and sweeper, a Parpathegar on Rs 7 or 8/- per mensem [illegible]

38

according to qualific may also be sanctioned. total establishment cost will be Rs 25/- or Rs 26/- without payment in kind.

5. The present payment in kind totals to 168 seers of rice with condiments representing a money value of Rs 42/-. The pay of the establishment viz: Rs 13-6-0 and the payment in kind viz: Rs 42/- make up Rs 55-6-0 per mensem.

The T. Amildar estimates the at Rs 36/- in his report 20-2-1

6. The proposed scale amounts to Rs 25/- or 26/- and there will therefore be a clear savings of Rs 30-6-0 or 29-6-0 per mensem.

7. The file of papers bearing on the subject received with the Sub Divisional officer's yadast No $\frac{188}{579}$ dated $\frac{27}{28}$. 2. 12 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honour to be,
sir,
your most obedient servant

Offg: Deputy Commr:
Mysore District

B.a.

39

File No. 345-08
Serial No. 6

20.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1443

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 19 .

Date of issue 20-5-1912

Signed by Mr B. Ramaswamy Iyer

No. 2839 Emplis
Musr F. 345-08-6

To

The Dy Comr, Mysore Dt.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 343 d/ 16-3-12 submitting a modified scale of establishment in the Annachattram at Tirumakudlu, I am directed to state that either a parpatyegar or a Saubog ~~will~~ appears to be suffi and that probably the higher title & pay may be retained

over.

SUBJECT.

Up

The Dy Commr is requested to report ~~how far~~ if any vested rights ~~are~~ will be affected by this proposed change if so how far and also what the cost of the establishment will now come to, including the cash equivalent of the one meal a day proposed for the whole establishment

I have &c

13/5/12

For Offg Secy

RL
13/5/12

Dp
Cgd (139)
11/5/12

*List of enclosures,*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

GPB—20,000—25-9 10

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

DIARY No. 832

19/3 13/4
SECTION Mus
FILE No. 345-08
SERIAL No. 7

To
The Secretary to Govt.
Muzrai Branch.

Dated 23-10-13.

Despatched

Received

General No. 191
No.

Enclosure No. File

Submits a revised scale of expenditure of Srimukundlu Anna-Chattram.

GPB—50,000—26-9 12

41

No. 791
file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner ; Mysore
Dated Mysore , 23rd October 1913

From ,

Subrahmanya Iyer Esquire , B.A ;
Deputy Commissioner , Mysore District

To ;

K.R. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esquire ; M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir ;

With reference to your letter No. 2839/Genl-7. 345-08-6 dated 20-5-1912 calling for certain information re the revision of the scale of expenditure in the Annachathram at Tirumakudlu, T-Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar reported that the vested rights of none would be affected by the proposed revision except that

~~that~~ the claim of one Subbamma whose husband held the post of ([illegible]) said to be a hereditary appointment and the claim of Kempi designated as Kamati who was also provided as ಮುಸದ್ದಿ with a pay of Re1/-. He had also suggested that a shanbhog be appointed instead of a parpathegar, on a pay of Rs 5/- with the remark that he should get only Rs3/- while Rs2/- had to be paid to the said Subbamma till her life and that he should get Rs5/- after her. He had further provided for a post of sweeper on a

pay

43

pay of Rs 2/- per mensem

2 On perusing the records, I observed that instead of Shanbhag, a parapathegar be K appointed on Rs 5/-, that Subbanna be treated as a Nemidar on an allowance of Rs 2/- without prejudice to the parpathegar's pay, that 2 posts Sweeper and musare were not necessary, that they might be clubbed into one on a pay of Rs 3/- adding that a [illegible] man was necessary.

The Amildar has now on the lines suggested submitted a revised scale wherein he has provided for

an

for an establishment consisting of a Parapathegar, Cook, Paricharak and a sweeper with one meal a day for each of them on such days as feeding takes place in the Chattram. He has as suggested proposed to treat Subbamma as nemidar on an allowance of Rs 2/- taking into consideration her destitute condition. As regards ಶಿಲೆತರಕ್ಕೆ man he reports that there is a man appointed to look after the three ವೃಂದಾವನಗಳ of the Swamigalu of Sri Vyasa-Raya Swami matt who does the puja of the ವೃಂದಾವನಗಳ and the

45 the Sri Anjaneya Swami in and that he is paid 2 seers of rice with condiments per day and therefore a separate man is unnecessary for the Rs 3 8 [illegible] post.

4 The Sub Division Officer recommends the proposal.

5 I therefore request that you will be so good as to recommend the enclosed scale of expenditure for sanction of Government.

6 The vernacular file of papers on the subject ending with Nanjangude Sub Division Officer's Yadi no: 68 of the 1st September 1913 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Asst. Commissioner
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
absent from station

Revision of the scale of expenditure in the Annachathram at Tirumakudlu -

G.R.S. 26]

171)

File No. 345-09

Serial No. 8

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 832 -

No. 2513.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( Muzrai )
, *the* *19* .

To

The Dy. Commr.,
Mysore Dt.

Date of issue 21. 3-1914.

Signed by for G. Aravamudaiyer

Sir,

With reference to your correspondence ending letter No. 191 dated 23rd October 1913 submitting for sanction a revised scale of expenditure in the Tirumakudlu Anna-chathram, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to forward a copy of the G.O. sanctioning 2 seers of rice with condiments from the chatram scale

over.

SUBJECT.

for Hastodakka of
~~to the Archakas of~~ the Brindavanams of the Swamis at Tirumakudlu.

I have sent

Ue

6/3.

For Secy.

3/3/14

PW (65)
6/3

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—23-9-10

DIARY No. 843

19 15/16

SECTION Muzrai

FILE No. 345-08

SERIAL No. 4

GPL—700,000—60-7-10

19

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District,

To The Rev: Secy: to Govt:
Muzrai Branch,
Bangalore.

Dated 16th November 1915.

Despatched 17th

Received

General No. 257.

No.

Enclosure No.

---

Reporting on the grant of 2 acres of rice wette Condiment- from the scale of Tirumakoodlu Annachatram for Nanda Deepa of Brindavanam of Sri Vyasaraya Swami Mutt at [illegible] ko-di

En. Office of the D

48

Mysore District,

Dated Mysore 16th November 1915.

17th "

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esq., B. A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq., M. A.,

Rev: Secretary to the Government of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Muzrai Branch,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no: 2513 Muzrai 345-08-8 dated 21st March 1914 — calling for a copy of the Government order sanctioning 2 seers of rice with condiments from the scale of Tirumakoodlu anna-chatram for Hastodaka of the Brindavanams of the Sri Vyasaraya swamy Mutt at Tirumakoodlu, I have the honor to state that the Amildar reports that the archakas of the Brindavanam of the above Mutt state that they had in their possession orders of the time

'a Krishna Raja Wodey
& of Dewan Poornayya granting them an allowance of cooked food of 2 seers of rice &c, that this was tried to be commuted to a smaller grant of rice (uncooked) with condiments when on their appeal, the matter was enquired into and the continuance of the old allowance directed in orders no: 4 dated 28-8-1844 and no: 94 dated 23-8-1846 The Archaks state that they lost these documents 4 or 5 years ago when the extraordinary high ~~at the~~ floods of the Kaveri caused the destruction of many houses in the town and that they have been able to quote the nos: and dates above given from a palm leaf script on which their ancestors had noted them.

The Shanbhog of the chattram has stated that this allowance has been paid all along and the Amildar has reported that the Government orders sanctioning the same [illegible] in the records.

The file of vernacular papers ending with the Amildar's report no: 6/134 dated 18th September 1915 accompanies for reference

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore District.

51

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT Calls for the opinion of the D. C. Mysore on certain points in connection with the proposed revision of scale of the annachattram at Tirumakudlu in the Narsipur Taluk.

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 843

Section VII
File No. 345-08
Serial No. 10.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( Muz )
*the* 191 .

No. 2390 Ein file

Date of issue 5-4-916.

Signed by M. G. Aravamudiengar

To
The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no. 256 d 17-11-15 on the subject of the revision of the scale of expenditure in the Govt. annachattram at Tirumakudlu, I am directed to state that the present revision proposals do not make

an

any appreciable addition to the funds available for feeding and that in view of the ~~fact the~~ chattram ~~is~~ being situated at a place of pilgrimage, it is necessary to make satisfactory arrangements to see that the feeding is uninterrupted. With this object in view, I am to request that you will be so good as to examine the allowances now made to – Nehmis and report whether it is not practicable to effect savings under this head.

2. The following points may be considered in this connection:-

(1) The temple of Aswatha-narayanaswami at Tirumakudlu has an annual tasdik Cash of Rs 231-2-0 and has since been included in ~~a~~ the list of major institutions It may no longer be necessary

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G.G. No 1147-9 / Muz. 7: 619-16
D. 11-4-15

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

P.(G.O. No. 2268-9/Mly 172-08-5 d 16-6-09).

necessary to give an additional grant from the chattram funds for Neivedya and to the sweeper.

(2) The allowance to Nanjangud Basaveswara Devaru from this chattram may appropriately be tacked on to Ganaradhane chattra at the place, as this institution is reported to have some surplus unutilized.

(3) The Brindavanams belonging to Sri Vyasaraya Matha enjoy a special tasdik of Rs 94-8-0 per annum and there seems to be no necessity to grant a separate allowance to the person who performs the puja or to supply a quantity of provision for the Aradhane. These are legitimate charges on the funds of the Sri Vyasaraya Matha.

Cash grant [illegible]

Q/ (

(4) The allowance ~~[illegible]~~ granted to Geeta Narasimha Bhatta having ~~will~~ ceased in ~~soon shortly~~, the period for

for which it was granted ~~expiring (and)~~ was made
~~(6) The allowances to other Acharis~~ may also be scrutinized ~~similarly~~ and the practicability of effecting ~~a saving may be indicated~~.

6) As the chattram is situated so near the Agasteeswaraswami temple, a separate Parpattegar to look after its concerns alone appears to be unnecessary and it may be possible to have the work done efficiently by granting ~~at~~ an allowance to the temple Parpattegar or any other permanent employee of the temple.

(7) A Devarpuja man seems to be necessary in view of the likelihood of Vaidika Brahmans ~~sacredness of the locality which~~ resorting to the chatram ~~will attract Vaidekas~~ and this duty may be discharged by the person now performing the ~~Brin~~ Brindavana Puja or by any other person, a suitable allowance

the amount available for general expenditure in the Chatram. Since the other Acharis charges may be scrutinized and the possibility of effecting a saving under this head indicated

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

allowance being provided in the scale.

3. I am to request that you will be good enough to inform me whether the funds available for feeding, after making these adjustments, will not be sufficient to carry on the service of the chattram throughout the year and, if not, what amount will be necessary in addition to make it so.

I have etc

26.2.16

For Secretary
Rev: Deptt.

25/2/16

27/3/16

No. S73
1917-18
Section Muzr.
File No. 345-08
11

24 SEP 1917 1917

From

The Assistant Commissioner
In District Charge, Mysore.

To.

The Joint Secretary to Govt,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 18th September 1917.

Despatched 21st September 1917

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

---

Submits report on certain points re the proposed revision of scale of the Annachattram at Tirumakudlu, T. Narsipur Taluk.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 10th September 1917.

[illegible]

[illegible] of His Highness
[illegible] Department,
[illegible]

[illegible] dated 5th
[illegible] in connection
[illegible] 9 [illegible] centre at Tiruma-
[illegible] to submit [illegible]
[illegible] Officer's
[illegible] state that the Avail-
[illegible] Chatram funds for
[illegible] may [illegible]

[illegible] Devaraj ey, as
[illegible] on to [illegible] chattram at
[illegible]

[illegible] Prinivasanams of Sri [illegible] enjoy a
[illegible] the grant of a separate
special [illegible] Rs.3 -8-0 per annum, the grant of a separate
[illegible] puje and the supply of
[illegible] appear to be unnecessary. These
[illegible] from the funds of the Sri
[illegible]

[illegible] granted to Cita Narasimhabhatta is available

available for the general expenditure of the Chattram.

5. A separate Parpathegar to look after the affairs of the Chattram is unnecessary. The Parpattegar of the Sri Agastheswaraswami Temple may be appointed to look after the affairs of the Chattram in addition to his own duties and he may be provided with one meal every day for the services instead of granting him any cash allowance.

6. As regards the appointment of a Devarpuje man in the Chattram the Amildar reports that as per existing scale an expenditure of Rs.15-6-10 per mensem is being incurred for the x Marginally noted services. These services and the Devarapuje in the Chattram may well be entrusted to a competent person on a monthly pay of Rs.8/- with one meal per day in the Chattram. The proposal of the Amildar appears to be feasible and may be sanctioned as this arrangement will effect a net saving of -- Rs.4-6-0 per mensem.

x
Subramanyaswami [illegible] puje – 2-3-10
Brindavanam Nivedya } 12-–
Devarpuje ... 1-3-–
Rs 15-6-10

7. The present tasdik of the Chattram is Rs.129-10-0 and the expenditure per mensem amounts to Rs.84-3-11. By the proposed scale, the expenditure per mensem amounts to Rs.49/- leaving a net sum of Rs.80-10-0 at the credit of the institution.

The Amildar reports that in addition to the general feeding of the Chattram, expenditure has also to be incurred for feeding in connection with Girija Kalyanam and Brindavanampuje etc, He therefore proposes that the present Tasdik of Rs.129-10- may be raised to Rs.150/- per mensem. By this arrangement a sum of Rs 101/- will be available at the end of each month at the credit of the institution, and the service of the Chattram may be conducted satisfactorily. The Sub Division Officer supports the Amildar's recommendation. I therefore request that

No. xmm you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the proposal made by the Amildar in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

18.9.07

Assistant Commissioner

In District Charge, Mysore.

S. V1—G/32]

SUBJECT Returns the Ver: records relating to the revision of scale of expenditure of the Annachatram at Tirumakudlu, T. Narsipur Taluk.

Diary No. of letter replied to 573

Section Muz
File No. 365-08
Serial No. 12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( Muz )
the 191 .

No. 2134/Enclo/file
Ver.

Date of issue 31-1-19

Signed by

To

The Dy: Comr, Mysore Distt.

Sir,

~~In returning herewith the~~ With reference to ~~Ver: records received with~~ your Letter No. A.C.-65 of 15-16 (Muz) d 21-9-17 furnishing information on certain points in connection with the proposed revision of scale of the Annachattram at Tirumakudlu, T. Narsipur Taluk, I am directed to state that proposals for fixing a revised scale of expenditure in

over.

the chattram will ~~shortly~~ on conclus be forwarded to you ~~for information~~ ~~for direct enquiry~~ by the Muzrai insp'r in reply for opinion. ~~ai~~ The Vern: papers rec'd with your letter under reply are ret'd to you for ref:ce in that conn:n.-

I have etc

For the Secretary
Muz: Dept.
L.G.
16/11/13

List of enclosures.

Copied by
Examined by

W D 6 GIB 6000 15 11 16